COFUNCTORS, LENSES, & SPLIT OPFIBRATIONS

BRYCE CLARKE Macquarie University

WORKSHOP ON POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS Topos Institute, March 2021



WHAT IS THIS TALK ABOUT?







WHAT IS A FUNCTOR?

A functor $f: A \longrightarrow B$ between categories consists of an assignment on objects,

$$f_{o}: Obj(A) \longrightarrow Obj(B)$$

and an assignment on morphisms,

$$f_{i}: Mor(A) \longrightarrow Mor(B)$$

which respects domains, codomains, identities, & composition.



WHAT IS A COFUNCTOR?

A cofunctor $\Psi: A \nleftrightarrow B$ between categories consists of an assignment on objects,

$$\Psi_{o}: Obj(A) \longrightarrow Obj(B)$$

and a lifting on morphisms,

which respects domains, codomains, identities, & composition.

$$A \qquad a \xrightarrow{\Psi_{1}(a,u)} a'$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \\ B \qquad \Psi_{0}a \xrightarrow{u} b = \Psi_{0}a$$



A BRIEF HISTORY OF COFUNCTORS

- 1993: Higgins & Mackenzie introduce comorphisms for vector bundles and Lie algebroids.
- 1997: Aguiar develops the notion of internal cofunctor as a dual to internal functor.
- 2016: Ahman & Uustalu prove that morphisms of polynomial comonads on Set are equivalent to cofunctors.
- 2020 : Paré shows that comonad morphisms in the double category of spans and retrocells are cofunctors.

THE DOUBLE CATEGORY OF POLYNOMIALS

As shown by Gambino & Kock, for E with pullbacks, there is a double category Poly(E) whose cells are diagrams in E of the form,



where the morphisms p and p' are exponentiable / powerful.



ADJOINT POLYNOMIALS

Let HIPoly(E) be the underlying horizontal bicategory of Poly(E).

Up to isomorphism, the left adjoints in HIPoly(E) are given by,

$$\mathsf{I} \xleftarrow{\mathsf{s}} \mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{1}} \mathsf{E} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{t}} \mathsf{J}$$

while the corresponding right adjoints are given by:

$$\mathbb{J} \xleftarrow{t} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{s} \mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{I}$$

Note that composition of left/right adjoints only requires pullbacks.

THE USUAL DOUBLE CATEGORY OF SPANS

7

The full double subcategory of Poly(E) on the left adjoints is the usual double category of spans Span(E) with cells given by:



The category of horizontal monads and vertical monad morphisms in Span(E) is equivalent to Cat(E), the category of internal categories and functors in E.

THE DOUBLE CATEGORY OF SPANS & RETROCELLS

The full double subcategory of Poly(E) on the right adjoints has cells given by:



This double category is equivalent to the double category of spans and retrocells Span(E)^{ret} introduced by Paré.



INTERNAL COFUNCTORS

Proposition (Paré): The category of horizontal comonads and vertical comonad morphisms in Span(E)^{ret} is equivalent to Cof(E), the category of internal categories and cofunctors in E.



How is this result related to the Ahman & Uustalu characterisation?



POLYNOMIALS ON THE TERMINAL OBJECT

Suppose \mathcal{E} has finite limits and let $Poly_1(\mathcal{E})$ be the full double subcategory of $Poly(\mathcal{E})$ on the terminal object of \mathcal{E} .

Proposition: The inclusion $Poly_1(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Poly(\mathcal{E})$ has a colax left adjoint. The counit is the identity while the unit has components given by:





TWO VIEWS ON CATEGORIES & COFUNCTORS

There is a colax double functor given by the composite, \$pan(ε)^{ret} = Poly(ε) = Poly(ε) Poly(ε)

which induces a functor between the categories of comonads:

$$Cof(\mathcal{E}) = Cmd(Span(\mathcal{E})^{ret}) \longrightarrow Cmd(Poly_1(\mathcal{E})) \qquad (*)$$

Theorem (Ahman & Uustalu): The functor (*) is an isomorphism.

This remarkable result is unintuitive and difficult to prove, but tells us something hard is actually something easy!

A DOUBLE CATEGORY OF FUNCTORS & COFUNCTORS

There is a double category $Cof(\varepsilon)$ of internal categories, functors, and cofunctors with flat cells given by:



Proposition: Cof(E) is span representable. Therefore Cof(E) has tabulators, and there is a vertically faithful double functor: Cof(E) -----> Span(Cat(E))



COFUNCTORS AS SPANS

Corollary (Higgins & Mackenzie): Every cofunctor $(\Psi_0, \Psi_1): A \longrightarrow B$ has a faithful representation as a span of functors,

$$\mathsf{A} \xleftarrow{} \mathsf{P} \mathsf{A} \xrightarrow{} \mathsf{P} \mathsf{B}$$

where Ψ is bijective-on-objects and $\overline{\Psi}$ is a discrete opfibration. Corollary: The cells of Cof(\mathcal{E}) have a faithful representation as commutative diagrams of internal functors:



14 SUMMARY OF THE FIRST PART

·Functors and cofunctors appear as dual notions in Poly(ε).

- The category $Cof(\mathcal{E})$ arises as the category of comonads and comonad morphisms in both $Span(\mathcal{E})^{ret}$ and $Poly_1(\mathcal{E})$.
- There is a double category $Cof(\epsilon)$ whose cells are diagrams:



4,8 bijective-on-objects 4,8 discrete opfibration



WHAT IS A LENS?

A (delta) lens $(f, \Psi): A \rightleftharpoons B$ between categories consists of assignments on objects and morphisms, $f_o: Obj(A) \longrightarrow Obj(B)$ $f_i: Mor(A) \longrightarrow Mor(B)$ and a lifting on morphisms, $\Psi_i: Obj(A) \times_{Obj(B)} Mor(B) \longrightarrow Mor(A)$ which respect domains, codomains, identities, & composition.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & a & & & & \\
f & & & & \\
f & & & & \\
B & & & & \\
\end{array}$$



WHAT IS A SPLIT OPFIBRATION?

• A morphism $u:a \longrightarrow a'$ in A is opcartesian with respect to a functor $f:A \longrightarrow B$ if for all $v:a \longrightarrow a''$ in A and for all $\omega: fa' \longrightarrow fa''$ in B such that $\omega \circ f(u) = f(v)$, there exists a unique $\hat{\omega}:a' \longrightarrow a''$ in A such that $\hat{\omega} \circ u = v$ and $f(\hat{\omega}) = w$.



• A split opfibration is a lens whose chosen lifts are opcartesian.



A BRIEF HISTORY OF LENSES

- 2005: Foster, Greenwald, Moore, Pierce, & Schmitt introduce lenses
 between sets (g:A→B, p:A×B→A) for computer science.
- •2011: Diskin, Czarnecki, & Xiong develop the notion of delta lens between categories.
- •2013: Johnson & Rosebrugh prove that every split opfibration is a lens.
- •2017: Ahman & Uustalu show that lenses may be understood in terms of compatible functor and cofunctor pairs.



A BRIEF HISTORY OF SPLIT OPFIBRATIONS

- 1966: Gray reviews Grothendieck fibrations and introduces several equivalent characterisations.
- 1974: Street develops the theory of fibrations in a 2-category and characterises split opfibrations as algebras for a monad.
- 1977: Johnstone defines internal split opfibrations as internal categories in DOpf(E)/B.
- ·2017: Ahman & Uustalu show that split opfibrations can be defined as lenses with additional structure.



LENSES VIA FUNCTORS & COFUNCTORS

Proposition (Ahman & Uustalu): A lens $(f, \Psi): A \Longrightarrow B$ is equivalent to a functor $f: A \longrightarrow B$ and a cofunctor $\Psi: A \longrightarrow B$ such that $f_0 = \Psi_0$ and

$$Obj(A) \times_{Obj(B)} Mor(B) \xrightarrow{\Psi_{I}} Mor(A) \xrightarrow{f_{I}} Mor(B)$$

Corollary: Every lens $(f, \varphi): A \Longrightarrow B$ has a faithful representation as a diagram of functors:



- Ψ bijective-on-objects
- \$ discrete opfibration



BASIC EXAMPLES OF LENSES





THE CATEGORY OF LENSES

There is a category Lens whose objects are categories and whose morphisms are lenses, with composition given by:



How can we view lenses arising from cofunctors? Internalise in E?



THE DOUBLE CATEGORY OF LENSES

Given the double category Cof(E), we may construct a double category with the same objects and vertical morphisms as $Cof(\varepsilon)$, with horizontal morphisms A -+> B given by cells of the form, $A \xrightarrow{\Psi} B \qquad A \xleftarrow{\Psi} A \xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi}} B$ f | | | ~~ f | [4] B ===== B ==== B R _____ R and with cells given by cells in $Cof(\varepsilon)$ satisfying a pasting law.

Proposition: This construction yields the double category $Lens(\varepsilon)$ of internal categories, functors, and lenses. Let $Lens(\varepsilon) := H Lens(\varepsilon)$.



THE DÉCALAGE CONSTRUCTION

·Given a category A, the décalage of A is the sum of its slice categories:

$$Dec(A) = \sum_{a \in A} \frac{A}{a}$$

Décalage generalises to a comonad D:Cat(ε) → Cat(ε) whose
 counit is a discrete fibration:





SPLIT OPFIBRATIONS VIA DÉCALAGE

Theorem: A lens $(f, \varphi): A \Longrightarrow B$ between internal categories is a split opfibration if and only if the functor $Df \circ \pi$, given by,



is a discrete opfibration, where D is the décalage comonad.



CONSEQUENCES & FUTURE WORK

- When (f, φ): A ⇒ B is a split opfibration, the functor Df has a lens structure.
- The characterisation is compact, and directly generalises the E=Set case.
- Suggests a way of defining split opfibrations internally without using 2-categories



SUMMARY OF THE TALK

26

- •Functors and cofunctors arise dually within the double category Poly(ε) of polynomials.
- Lenses are morphisms between categories which are both functors and cofunctors in a compatible way.



4 bijective-on-objects

\$ discrete opfibration

 Split opfibrations are lenses which satisfy a property with respect to décalage.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- · Clarke (2020). Internal split opfibrations and cofunctors.
- Higgins & Mackenzie (1993). Duality for base-changing morphisms of vector bundles, modules, Lie algebroids and Poisson structures.
- Aquiar (1997). Internal categories and quantum groups.
- Ahman & Uustalu (2016). Directed containers as categories.
- Paré (2020). Retrocells Redux. MIT Categories Seminar, 2020-10-08.
- · Gambino & Kock (2013). Polynomial functors and polynomial monads.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Foster, Greenwald, Moore, Pierce, & Schmitt (2005). Combinators for bidirectional tree transformations: A linguistic approach to the view-update problem.
- Diskin, Czarnecki, & Xiong (2011). From state- to delta-based bidirectional model transformations: the asymmetric case.
- Johnson & Rosebrugh (2013). Delta lenses and opfibrations.
- Ahman & Uustalu (2017). Taking updates seriously.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Gray (1966). Fibred and cofibred categories.
- Street (1974). Fibrations and Yoneda's lemma in a 2-category.
- · Johnstone (1977). Topos Theory.

BONUS, COFUNCTORS OVER A BASE

In the double category Cof(E) we may consider cells of the form:



Then for each internal category B, let Cof_B(E) denote the category of cofunctors over a base B whose:

- · objects are cofunctors with codomain B;
- whose morphisms are cells in Cof(ε) of the form (*).

BONUS-LENSES OVER A BASE

For each internal category B, let Lens_B(E) denote the category of lenses over a base B whose:

- · objects are lenses with codomain B;
- whose morphisms are cells in Lens(E) of the form:



Proposition: There is an isomorphism $\text{Lens}_{B}(\varepsilon) \cong \text{Cof}_{B}(\varepsilon) / 1_{B}$

BONUS LENSES AS COALGEBRAS FOR A COMONAD

Theorem: The functor
$$\operatorname{Lens}_{B}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Cof}_{B}(\mathcal{E})$$
 is comonadic.

Proof (sketch): Given a cofunctor $\Psi: A \longrightarrow B$, there is a lens $P \Longrightarrow B$.



This defines a right adjoint $R \vdash U$, and the coalgebras for the comonad RU are: $\xrightarrow{\langle \mathbf{1}_{A}, \mathbf{f} \rangle} \rho \xrightarrow{\pi_{A}} A$ counit φÎ < 4, **4**) Ģ 5 5